

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/540,548 | 02/22/2006 | Kris Vandermeulen | 31118/DY0206 | 7189 | |
| 11923 Marshall Gers | 7590 02/08/201 stein & Borun LLP (Nev | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 233 South Wa | cker Drive | MARINI, M | MARINI, MATTHEW G | | |
| 6300 Willis To Chicago, IL 60 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2854 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/08/2011 | FLECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/540,548 | VANDERMEULEN ET AL. | | | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | MATTHEW G. MARINI | 2854 | | | | | | |

| | MATTHEW G. MARINI | 2854 | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Mac The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | on. | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to 34 diaminst all of the appeal. Since of Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filling a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 25, 36, 56, 57, and 58 specifically the language directed towards the printing operations can not be implemented for a consumable having an identifier in the list changes the scope of the claims and therefore would require further consideration and/or search. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | | PTOL-324) | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | inpliant Americanent (| 100 024). | | | | | |
| | 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I | will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | vercome all rejections under appea | I and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | | |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| Matthou C Marini/ | | | | | | | | |
| | /Matthew G Marini/ Examiner Art Unit 2854 | | | | | | | |